

**From:** [NectonSubstationAction Messenger](#)  
**To:** [Norfolk Boreas](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 7  
**Date:** 10 March 2020 08:58:09

---

*A. 1. The Applicant has never stated that the proposed development would not be visible from Necton or Ivy Todd....*

This claim by the applicant is simply not true. . .

We would respectfully draw your attention to the Recording of Issue Specific Hearing on Onshore effects including the draft Development Consent Order Part 3 - 21 January 2020 (link below) from 01.21.07 to 01.21.15, where Jo Phillips (for the applicant) says, "*We actually don't see the substation from the settlement of Necton*"

Other similar comments very similar to this were also made repeatedly by Applicant's representatives at the Accompanied Site Inspection to various people who attended it. We would ask the ExA to stop the applicant from stating falsehoods as part of their now desperate measures to get their application approved.

This comment or others very similar by the applicant have been used over and over again as part of their evidence. This is a common recurrence during this examination of the applicant stating things later proven to be untrue.

This entire application is littered with inaccuracies and misdirection, and there may be 100s more yet to be discovered. If we had paid staff as the applicant does we would surely have time to uncover them.

NSAG